



**Eesti Väitlusselts**

Estonian Debating Society

# Our ways of countering populism

Our mission:

- To promote the unforced force of the better argument

OR

- To promote the use of the force of argument, not the argument of force
-

# 1st priority: youth work

## Debate education

- Debate tournaments
    - the President's tournament: <https://www.vaitlus.ee>
    - other sponsored tournaments (Ministry of Defense, Ministry of Foreign Affairs)
  - Non-formal debate education
    - "Argument 2017" and "Argument 2018"
      - Future Leaders specifically targeted
        - youth council or student council activists
        - young people active in youth NGOs
        - etc
      - Course on argumentation, recognising demagoguery
  - Local support
-

# Argumentation (& other) training for adults

- We are the owners of SpeakSmart: <https://www.speaksmart.ee>
    - A way for good debaters to advance in the Estonian debating community
    - Speaksmart trains:
      - government institutions
      - businesses: <https://www.speaksmart.ee/teenused/avalikud-koolitused/speaking-skills-for-business-professionals>
-

# Public activities I

- Online debates with critique on argumentation and demagoguery starting from 2008:  
<https://arvamus.postimees.ee/4169625/haridus-olgu-eestikeelne-jubalasteaiast-vaitlevad-kristen-michal-ja-yana-toom>
  - Same debates in a TV show format:  
<https://arvamus.postimees.ee/3655517/postimehe-vaitlusklubi-eestis-on-pagulaskriisile-ule-reageeritud>
  - Commentaries on public debates (especially election debates):  
<https://poliitika.postimees.ee/3107095/vaitlusblogi-debati-voitis-mikser>
  - Column on the public debate in Estonia: <https://arvamus.postimees.ee/3905619/vaitlusseltsi-kolumn-foorumi-debatist-jai-mulje-et-esimesena-petsid-irl-ja-sde>
-

# Pros & cons

- Fulfilling our mission
  - Earning a reputation
    - good for:
      - funding
      - partnerships
      - overall knowledge about us, including our youth programme
    - bad for:
      - sometimes being viewed as biased
  - Tough to get people to write longer texts on a regular basis
  - Sometimes regrets about
    - being too colorful in the use of language (doubts about neutrality)
    - having to deal with responses in situations when there's no time for it
-

# Public activities II

Demakook:

- <https://twitter.com/demakook>
- <http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:2hzqZ5r12Y0J:demakook.edicypages.com/blogist+&cd=2&hl=et&ct=clnk&gl=ee>

Valimiste valvurid:

- <https://www.facebook.com/heavalimistava/posts/423569041132439>
-

# Public activities III

Cooperation with ERR, the public broadcaster

- Attempt at a debate TV show (pilot recorded, not picked up)
  - Live commentaries for election debates
  - Other participations in broadcasts: selecting tweets
-

# Public activities IV

Fact-checking for Delfi:

- <http://www.delfi.ee/news/kov2017/faktikontroll>
  - Modeled on Politifact
  - true, mostly true, half true, mostly false, false, ridiculous
  - Like with Postimees, we do not ask for money
    - independence
    - right to refuse clickbait assignments
-

## Pros & cons

- Much more readers compared to Postimees
  - Much easier to write one piece (does not need to be long)
  - Difficulties in interpreting whether something is presented as a fact
  - Pressure to publish one piece every day
  - Sometimes regrets over whether the judgement was correct
-